



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/724,319

11/27/2000

Dale B. Schenk

15270J-004743US

6653

20350

7590

04/09/2008

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP  
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER  
EIGHTH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

KOLKER, DANIEL E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1649

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/09/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/724,319	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SCHENK, DALE B.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DANIEL KOLKER	<b>Art Unit</b> 1649	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Daniel Kolker (USPTO). (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joe Liebeschuetz (attorney for applicant). (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 07 April 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Becker, Nordstedt, Solomon.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed prior art rejections of record; applicant presented arguments as to how the invention claimed would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. No specific claim language was agreed upon. Applicant's representative indicated that a copy of the interview summary could be mailed rather than given to him.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Daniel E. Kolker/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required